arXiv:hep-th/9211123v1 26 Nov 1992

Gauging the octonion algebra

A.K. Waldron and G.C. Joshi

Research Centre for High Energy Physics,

University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia

By considering representation theory for non-associative algebras we construct the fundamental and adjoint representations of the octonion algebra. We then show how these representations by associative matrices allow a consistent octonionic gauge theory to be realized. We find that non-associativity implies the existence of new terms in the transformation laws of fields and the kinetic term of an octonionic Lagrangian.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Ly, 12.10.Dm, 12.40.-y.

Typeset Using *REVTEX*

I. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this work is to genuinely gauge the octonion algebra as opposed to relating properties of this algebra back to the well known theory of Lie Groups and fibre bundles. Typically most attempts to utilise the octonion symmetry in physics have revolved around considerations of the automorphism group G_2 of the octonions and Jordan matrix representations of the octonions [1]. Our approach is more simple since we provide a spinorial approach to the octonion symmetry. Previous to this work there were already several indications that this should be possible. To begin with the statement of the gauge principle itself "*no theory shall depend on the labelling of the internal symmetry space coordinates*" seems to be independent of the exact nature of the gauge algebra and so should apply equally to non-associative algebras.

The octonion algebra is an alternative algebra (the associator $\{x^{-1}, y, x\} = 0$ always) so that the transformation law for a gauge field $\Gamma_\mu \to \Gamma'_\mu = U\Gamma_\mu U^{-1} - \frac{i}{g}(\partial_\mu U)U^{-1}$ is well defined for octonionic transformations U.

In analogy with the isomorphisms: unit quaternions $\leftrightarrow SU(2)$ and unit complex numbers $\leftrightarrow U(1)$, the unit octonion algebra is of premium interest. Topologically the unit octonions are an S^7 which is a parallizable manifold. This has allowed Nahm [2] to show that an octonionic Yang Mills theory does indeed exist. Further Lukierski and Minnaert [3] have shown that although the unit octonions do not associate they can still be treated as a soft Lie Algebra [4] [5] with structure constants varying as pure torsion on S^7.

The unique place of the octonion algebra as the last real division algebra in the Hurwitz theorem sequence: reals, complex, quaternions and octonions, is tantalising in view of the myriad of applications in physics of the former three algebras. The current standard model group of particle physics follows this sequence closely. Observe that given we could include the unit real numbers $\{1, -1\} \cong Z_2$ to cover discrete symmetries only the $SU(3)$ group does not follow the unit Hurwitz real division algebra sequence. Since we presently have nothing other than anthropic arguments for the actual Lie Group chosen by nature it would be elegant if the Hurwitz theorem provided the algebras of the standard model. It is known that the octonion algebra can be used to generate all Lie Groups [6] and $SU(3)$ is a subgroup of the inner automorphism group of the octonions [1] so an octonionic standard model does not seem unreasonable *if* a "genuine" non-associative gauge theory could be developed.

II. BIMODULAR REPRESENTATION THEORY

Non-associative algebras and physics up till now have had a strained relationship. Obviously non-associative numbers are difficult to manipulate. However there are deeper inherent problems for non-associative symmetries in particle physics. Firstly non-associativity implies the failure of unitarity in octonionic quantum mechanics [8]. Secondly the edifice of modern gauge theories has a profound basis in the theory of connections on fibre bundles. One would expect any generalisation of the gauge principle to respect bundle theory. Yet associativity of the structure group (=gauge algebra) is a direct consequence of the associativity of the composition of maps (specifically the transition functions giving the bundle its warped product structure). This is central and incontrovertible for the fibre bundle formalism. Therefore in this work we consider representation theory of non-associative yet alternative algebras by associative matrices. In this way we circumvent the failure of unitarity and need not who leheartedly fling away the fibre bundle. From a philosophical viewpoint this is acceptable since in physics it is never the abstract group that is of interest but rather its representation. So let us now consider representation theory of alternative algebras.

An alternative algebra A is an algebra in which the associator

$$\{x, y, z\} = (xy)z - x(yz) \tag{1}$$

is in general non-vanishing. However an alternativity condition is fulfilled

$$\{x, y, z\} + \{x, z, y\} = 0. \tag{2}$$

Equivalently any subalgebra of A generated by two elements and the identity is associative.

Now observe that an element $x \in A$ alone is not a well defined object. For if $a \neq b$ then the triple product axb could be either $(ax)b$ or $a(xb)$. Therefore a single element $x \in A$ actually entails two objects $\overleftarrow{x}$ and $\overrightarrow{x}$ (x-left and x-right say) where

$$a \overleftarrow{x} b = (ax)b \text{ and } a \overrightarrow{x} b = a(xb). \tag{3}$$

Therefore representation theory for an alternative algebra involves two sets of matrices/linear transformations. In this way non-associativity can be recast as a "doubling" of the algebra.

Hence define a bimodular representation [9] of an alternative algebra as a pair of linear mappings (L, R) of A into $L(V)$ the set of all linear transformations of some vector space V into itself, satisfying the following relations:

$$\text{If } \begin{cases} L : x \to L(x) \\ R : y \to R(y) \text{ where } x, y \in A \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

$$\text{then } \begin{cases} L(xy) = L(x)L(y) + [R(x), L(y)] \\ R(xy) = R(x)R(y) + [L(x), R(y)] \\ [L(x), R(y)] = [R(x), L(y)] \qquad \forall x, y \in A. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Further, since any two elements generate an associative subalgebra

$$[L(x), R(x)] \equiv 0. \tag{6}$$

Notice that these relations mimic those for Lie groups except for the commutator terms coupling the left and right sides of the representation to one another. These terms provide new physics peculiar to alternative algebras.

A. The fundamental representation

Let us now consider the specific problem of constructing a fundamental bimodular representation of the octonion algebra. The octonion algebra is an 8 dimensional real division algebra. Indeed it is the last in the sequence of the 4 unique real division algebras (Hurwitz theorem) reals, complex, quaternions and octonions. A general octonion is

$$x = x_0 e_0 + x_1 e_1 + \ldots + x_7 e_7 = x_0 e_0 + x_i e_i . \tag{7}$$

(We will follow the usual convention whereby greek indices are used to include the identity element of the algebra so that $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots = 0, \ldots, 7$ and latin indices denote only the hypercomplex units so that $i, j, k, \ldots = 1, \ldots, 7$.)

The units satisfy the following algebra

$$e_0^2 = e_0 \tag{8}$$

$$e_0 e_i = e_i e_0 = e_i \tag{9}$$

$$e_i e_j = -\delta_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk} e_k \text{ where } i, j, k = 1, \ldots, 7. \tag{10}$$

ϵ_{ijk} is totally antisymmetric and $\epsilon_{ijk} = 1$ for $(ijk) =$ (123), (145), (176), (246), (257), (347), (365) (each cycle represents a quaternion subalgebra). Its dual is the totally antisymmetric rank 4 tensor $\epsilon_{ijkl} = 1$ for $(ijkl) =$ (1247), (1265), (2345), (2376), (3146), (3157), (4576). Notice that for an $SU(2)$ theory no such object is of relevance. The dual structure constants also determine the associator

$$\{e_i, e_j, e_k\} \equiv (e_i e_j) e_k - e_i (e_j e_k) = -2 \epsilon_{ijkl} e_l . \tag{11}$$

The ϵ_{ijk} structure constants obey the following relations [10]

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{abi}\epsilon_{cdi} &= \delta_{ac}\delta_{bd} - \delta_{ad}\delta_{bc} + \epsilon_{abcd} \\ \epsilon_{aij}\epsilon_{bij} &= 6\delta_{ab} \\ \epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{ijk} &= 42. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Finally define the involution (octonionic conjugation)

$$\bar{x} = x_0 e_0 - x_i e_i, \tag{13}$$

so that

$$|x|^2 = x\bar{x} = \bar{x}x = x_0^2 + x_i x_i \tag{14}$$

and a unique inverse is defined

$$x^{-1} = \frac{\bar{x}}{|x|} \qquad (x \neq 0). \tag{15}$$

The fundamental representation should be the lowest dimensional faithful representation by hermitian (or orthogonal) matrices. On dimensional grounds there are 7 octonionic units and therefore 14 matrix generators (15 including the identity which being associative needs no doubling). The set of all $N \times N$ hermitian matrices is generated by $N^2 - 1$ generators so the lowest dimension we should look at is $N = 4$ (or $N = 8$ in the orthogonal case). However it remains unclear how to construct a fundamental representation. Therefore let us start with a well known associative case first and generalise.

The isomorphism between the quaternions and $SU(2)$ is well known. Hence we provide a method of constructing the Pauli matrices (generators of the fundamental representation of $SU(2)$) from the quaternion algebra.

The quaternion algebra is generated by 3 units e_1, e_2, e_3 (which we interchangeably denote i, j, k). Allowing the indices i, j, k to run from 1 to 3 in the octonion algebra above and replacing ϵ_{ijk} by the 3 dimensional Levi-Civita alternating symbol ϵ_{ijk} we obtain the quaternion algebra. The quaternions are an associative but non-commutative division algebra. Therefore inverses always exist but quotients are not unique. Rather we must distinguish between the left quotient x of two quaternions a and b where $bx = a$ and the right quotient $\tilde{x}$ where $\tilde{x}b = a$. The left division table for the quaternions is

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \div & 1 & i & j & k \\ \hline 1 & 1 & i & j & k \\ i & -i & 1 & -k & j \\ j & -j & k & 1 & -i \\ k & -k & -j & i & 1 \end{array} \tag{16}$$

Take the coefficients of $1, i, j, k$ as the entries for the 4×4 matrix representatives of $1, i, j, k$ respectively. Denoting 1 and i by their 2×2 matrix representatives $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ we obtain the Pauli matrices [7]

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{1} \\ i &\longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} = i\sigma_1 \\ j &\longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = i\sigma_2 \\ k &\longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = i\sigma_3. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The same procedure can be followed for the right division table but of course gives no independent results. We now apply the above derivation of the fundamental representation from algebra to the octonion algebra. However since the octonions fail to associate both the left and right division tables are required. These are tabulated in appendix VI.

In terms of the Pauli matrices $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \mathbf{1})$ we then have the following orthogonal traceless left and right matrix representatives

$$e_1 \longrightarrow L(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} -i\sigma_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i\sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i\sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}, R(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} -i\sigma_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i\sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i\sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_2 \longrightarrow \quad L(e_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma_3 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{1} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R(e_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbf{1} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_3 \longrightarrow L(e_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i\sigma_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -i\sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, R(e_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\sigma_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -i\sigma_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i\sigma_2 \\ 0 & 0 & i\sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_4 \longrightarrow \quad L(e_4) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} \\ \sigma_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R(e_4) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_5 \longrightarrow \quad L(e_5) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i\sigma_2 \\ \sigma_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R(e_5) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i\sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i\sigma_2 \\ -i\sigma_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_6 \longrightarrow \quad L(e_6) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{1} \\ 0 & 0 & -\sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_3 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R(e_6) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma_3 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_3 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e_7 \longrightarrow L(e_7) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i\sigma_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -i\sigma_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; R(e_7) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{18}$$

Notice the elegant sequence: quaternions ↔ represented by 2×2 complex matrices, octonions ↔ represented by 4×4 quaternionic matrices.

B. The regular representation

Typically the regular (or adjoint) representation for Lie groups is derived from the Jacobi identity

$$[A,[B,C]] + [C,[A,B]] + [B,[C,A]] = 0. \tag{19}$$

The structure constants of the algebra then become the matrix representatives. However the Jacobi identity fails for non-associative A, B, C. Therefore we must look for an alternative construct.

Define the Jacobi function

$$\mathcal{J}ac(x,y,z) = [x,[y,z]] + [z,[x,y]] + [y,[z,x]] \tag{20}$$

which vanishes always for associative x, y, z. It is easy to check that the alternativity condition 2 gives

$$\mathcal{J}ac(x,y,z) + \mathcal{J}ac(x,z,y) = 0. \tag{21}$$

Let the units of our alternative algebra A be $\{e_i\}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, \dim(A) - 1)$. Define the structure constants ϵ_{ij}^k of A in the usual way

$$[e_i, e_j] = 2\epsilon_{ij}^k e_k. \tag{22}$$

Substituting these into 21

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &= \mathcal{J}ac(e_i, e_j, e_k) + \mathcal{J}ac(e_i, e_k, e_j) &\quad (23)\\
&= 4[\epsilon^m_{il}\epsilon^l_{jk} + \epsilon^m_{kl}c^l_{ij} + \epsilon^m_{jl}\epsilon^l_{ki} + \epsilon^m_{jl}\epsilon^l_{ki} + \epsilon^m_{jl}\epsilon^l_{ik} + \epsilon^m_{kl}\epsilon^l_{ji}]e_m &\quad (24)
\end{aligned}
$$

Which holds for any e_m so that

$$\epsilon^m_{il}\epsilon^l_{jk} - \epsilon^m_{jl}\epsilon^l_{ik} + \epsilon^m_{il}\epsilon^l_{kj} - \epsilon^m_{lj}\epsilon^l_{ik} = 2\epsilon^l_{ij}\epsilon^m_{lk} \tag{25}$$

Now replacing the structure constants with fixed i and j with matrices suggestively labelled

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_i = [\epsilon_i]^m_l \leftrightarrow \epsilon^m_{il} \\ \mathcal{R}_j = [\tilde{\epsilon}_j]^m_l \leftrightarrow \epsilon^m_{lj} \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

we have

$$[\mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L}_j] + [\mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{R}_j] = 2\epsilon^l_{ij}\mathcal{L}_l. \tag{27}$$

Notice that for an associative algebra the commutator $[\mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{R}_j] = 0$ and we then have the usual adjoint matrix representation for Lie groups. Further equation 27 is exactly the bimodular representation relation 5 written in terms of commutators. The equivalent result for the right matrices $\mathcal{R}_i$ is easily derived

$$[\mathcal{R}_i, \mathcal{R}_j] + [\mathcal{R}_i, \mathcal{L}_j] = 2\epsilon^l_{ij}\mathcal{R}_l. \tag{28}$$

We now have derived the basic representations (adjoint and fundamental) of particle physics for alternative algebras.

III. AN OCTONIONIC GAUGE THEORY

Having developed both fundamental and adjoint representations we can now proceed to write a “genuine” octonionic gauge theory. However there are new features peculiar to the representation theory of alternative algebras which dictate changes to the usual Lie group gauge theories. We now have 7 left and right representation matrices [11] denoted L_i and R_j where $i = 1, \ldots, 7$. Denote the identity matrix $\mathbf{1} = L_0 = R_0$ so that $(L\{R\}_\alpha) = (L\{R\}) = (\mathbf{1}, L\{R\}_i)$. The extended structure constants $c_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ can be read directly from the octonion multiplication table including the identity.

From the defining relations 5 we have

$$L_\alpha L_\beta + [L_\alpha, R_\beta] = c_{\alpha\beta\gamma} L_\gamma \tag{29}$$

$$R_\alpha R_\beta + [R_\alpha, L_\beta] = c_{\beta\alpha\gamma} R_\gamma \tag{30}$$

$$[L_\alpha, R_\beta] = [R_\alpha, L_\beta] \tag{31}$$

These relations mimic those for a Lie algebra save for the additional non-linear commutator terms linking the left and right sides of the representation. If we consider just left transformations on a matter field ψ of the usual type

$$\psi \to \psi' = e^{i\vec{\alpha}.\vec{L}}\psi \tag{32}$$

then we incur a right transformation via the linking commutator terms. This failure of either side of the representation algebra to close is an essential feature of any non-associative gauge theory. In essence the undesirable non-associativity of the algebra has been reformatted as a closure problem in our Lie Algebra structure.

A first solution to the closure problem is to add such generators to the left (or right) matrix generators necessary to close the algebra. One is then dealing with an ordinary Lie Algebra with a subalgebra of particular interest representing the octonion symmetry. Although this approach is not completely valueless, since one would expect it to provide some of the features of an octonionic symmetry, we feel that it does not fit the criteria of a "genuine" octonionic gauge theory. Rather we would prefer to invoke new physics to handle the inherent closure problem for the strong association between the octonions and Lie groups is well known [6].

Consider $SU(2)$ internal symmetry space. The tangent space at each point is a three dimensional complex vector space spanned by the Pauli matrices with multiplication law

$$[\sigma_i, \sigma_j] = 2\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k \quad \text{where } a, b = 1, 2. \tag{33}$$

Suppose we were now to isolate two of these complex directions so as to operate only with the first two Pauli matrices σ_1 and σ_2 (this choice is arbitrary). The algebra of the generators [12] of this two dimensional complex vector space is obviously not closed since

$$[\sigma_a, \sigma_b] = 2\epsilon_{ab}M \quad \text{where } a, b = 1, 2 \tag{34}$$

and ϵ_{ab} is the two dimensional alternating symbol. The "unseen" generator M corresponds to the third Pauli matrix σ_3. There is, however no impediment to the usual $SU(2)$ calculations. Rather, choosing to operate with only two of the three available complex dimensions will produce some peculiar but artificial effects.

The story for the octonions is more subtle since by virtue of our associative matrix representation we must encounter a non-closed algebra. Yet the generators of our fundamental representation are also generators of the $SO(8)$ symmetry group. Hence we handle the failure of closure for our representation of the octonion algebra by carrying out all calculations with generators of the $SO(8)$ symmetry group but isolate those generators corresponding to the octonion algebra. So in a sense an octonionic symmetry implies an overall $SO(8)$ symmetry of which only specific channels are ever observed. Conversely one can take the viewpoint that we have found an embedding of the octonion symmetry in $SO(8)$ [13]. We now present the skeletal structure of an octonionic Yang-Mills theory.

Begin with a matter field

$$\Psi = (\psi^\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^0 \\ \psi^1 \\ \psi^2 \\ \psi^3 \end{pmatrix} \tag{35}$$

where the entries ψ^α are complex spinors. Firstly notice that if the ψ^α transform separately under the fundamental representation of $SU(2)$

$$\psi^\alpha \rightarrow e^{\frac{i}{2}\vec{\theta}(x).\vec{\sigma}}\psi^\alpha \tag{36}$$

so that the Lagrangian of the theory is invariant $\mathcal{L}(\Psi) \rightarrow e^{-\frac{i}{2}\vec{\theta}(x).\vec{\sigma}}\mathcal{L}(\Psi)e^{\frac{i}{2}\vec{\theta}(x).\vec{\sigma}}$ then we trivially have an octonionic symmetry since the left and right representation matrices are composed of Pauli matrices. Let us assume that this is not the case but rather consider a full octonionic symmetry.

As is usual for Lie algebras let us consider only left global symmetry transformations of the type

$$\Psi(x) \rightarrow \Psi'(x) = e^{\frac{i}{2}\vec{\alpha}(x).\vec{\lambda}}\Psi(x) \ \text{ with } \vec{\alpha}.\vec{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{7}\alpha_i\lambda_i \tag{37}$$

where the λ and ρ matrices $\lambda_i = iL_i$ and $\rho = iR_i$ are Hermitean. However in doing so we now encounter a non-closed algebra. The commutation and anti-commutation relations for the λ_i are

$$[\frac{\lambda_i}{2},\frac{\lambda_j}{2}] = \epsilon_{ijk}\frac{\lambda_k}{2} + [\rho_j,\frac{\lambda_i}{2}] \tag{38}$$

$$\{\frac{\lambda_i}{2},\frac{\lambda_j}{2}\} = \delta_{ij}\mathbf{1/2}. \tag{39}$$

The non-linear left-right commutator term in the commutation relations reflects the non-associative triple product structure of the octonions and will give new terms in the curvature tensor as yet unseen for Lie algebras.

Now construct a covariant derivative $\mathcal{D}_\mu$ (μ is a Lorentz spacetime index) so that the variation of $\mathcal{D}_\mu\Psi$ has the same transformation as Ψ itself

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu\Psi \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_\mu\Psi)' = e^{\frac{i}{2}\vec{\alpha}(x).\vec{\lambda}}\mathcal{D}_\mu\Psi. \tag{40}$$

We therefore introduce a gauge field $\mathbf{A}_\mu$ in the usual fashion so that

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \Psi = (\partial_\mu - ig\frac{\vec{\lambda}.\vec{\mathbf{A}}_\mu}{2})\Psi. \tag{41}$$

The transformation of the gauge field is again familiar

$$\frac{\vec{\lambda}.\vec{\mathbf{A}}_\mu}{2} \to (\frac{\vec{\lambda}.\vec{\mathbf{A}}_\mu}{2})' = e^{\frac{i}{2}\vec{\alpha}(x).\vec{\lambda}}(\frac{\vec{\lambda}.\vec{\mathbf{A}}_\mu}{2})e^{-\frac{i}{2}\vec{\alpha}(x).\vec{\lambda}} - \frac{i}{g}(\partial_\mu e^{\frac{i}{2}\vec{\alpha}(x).\vec{\lambda}})e^{-\frac{i}{2}\vec{\alpha}(x).\vec{\lambda}} \tag{42}$$

To first order using the commutation relation 38 we have

$$(\frac{\vec{\lambda}.\vec{\mathbf{A}}_\mu}{2})' = \frac{\vec{\lambda}.\vec{\mathbf{A}}_\mu}{2} - i\alpha^j A^k_\mu(\epsilon_{ijk}\lambda_i + [\rho_j, \frac{\lambda_k}{2}]) - \frac{\vec{\lambda}}{2g}.\partial_\mu\vec{\alpha} \tag{43}$$

The second term is just the transformation law for a septet under the left side of the adjoint representation described in section II B. Non-associativity invokes the appearance of the rank two tensor transformation term $\frac{1}{2}\alpha^j A^k_\mu[\rho_j, \lambda_i]$. We may divide the transformation 43 into closed and non-closed algebraic parts by denoting $A^{i\prime}_\mu = A^i_\mu - i\epsilon_{ijk}\alpha^j A^k_\mu - \frac{1}{g}\partial_\mu\alpha^i$ (where of course $\vec{\lambda}.\vec{A}_\mu = \lambda_i A^i_\mu$) so that

$$(\frac{\vec{\lambda}.\vec{\mathbf{A}}_\mu}{2})' = \frac{1}{2}A^{i\prime}_\mu L_i + \alpha^j A^k_\mu[\rho_j, \frac{\lambda_k}{2}] \tag{44}$$

where the second term carries the non-associativity of the algebra failing to close back onto the algebra of the λ matrices. A non-linear term in the anti-symmetric curvature tensor emerges similarly. We find

$$(\mathcal{D}_\mu\mathcal{D}_\nu - \mathcal{D}_\nu\mathcal{D}_\mu) = \frac{ig}{2}(\lambda_i F^i_{\mu\nu} - A^j_\mu A^k_\nu[\rho_j, \lambda_k]) \equiv \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \tag{45}$$

where the associative term is as usual

$$F^i_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^i_\nu - \partial_\nu A^i_\mu - ig\epsilon_{ijk}A^j_\mu A^k_\nu. \tag{46}$$

Finally let us now consider the form of a source-less Yang-Mills Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = tr(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}). \tag{47}$$

We need the following trace relations

$$tr(\lambda_i \lambda_j) = 8\delta_{ij} \tag{48}$$

$$tr(\lambda_i [\rho_j, \lambda_k]) = 8\epsilon_{ijk} \tag{49}$$

$$tr([\lambda_i, \rho_j][\lambda_k, \rho_l]) = 8[\epsilon_{ijkl} + 2(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk})]. \tag{50}$$

Therefore we have

$$\mathcal{L} = -g^2[F^i_{\mu\nu}F^{i\mu\nu} - 2\epsilon_{ijk}A^i_\mu A^j_\nu F^{k\mu\nu} + (\epsilon_{ijkl} + 2(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}))A^i_\mu A^j_\nu A^{k\mu} A^{l\nu}]. \tag{51}$$

The first term is the usual associative kinetic term but now non-associativity leads to a bilinear coupling between the gauge fields and curvature tensor and a term quadrilinear in the gauge fields. These terms provide new interactions, the phenomenology of which is currently being pursued.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Although no faithful matrix representation of the octonions can exist since matrices associate whereas the octonions do not, like any algebra their structure constants and division tables can be used to construct matrix representatives for the octonion algebra. Representation theory for alternative algebras has existed in mathematics since early this century. However we have shown that it provides a fundamental and adjoint representation for the octonion algebra. Furthermore an associative representation theory resolves the unitarity problem usually thwarting octonionic quantum mechanics. Non-associativity of course could not be made to disappear so easily but rather rears its head as a failure of the symmetry algebra to close giving rise to new terms in the transformation laws for matter and gauge fields and in the kinetic term of a gauge invariant Lagrangian. The phenomenology of such terms promises to be of interest especially since the octonions themselves contain so much structure. Therefore the possibi lity of an octonionic quark theory still, flickeringly, beckons.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank J. Choi, J. Daicic, A. Davies, C. Dettmann and B. Hanlon for stimulating discussions. One of us (A.K.W.) would like to acknowledge the support of the Australian Postgraduate Research Program and the Dixon Scholarship. Finally the feline interactions of Aldous Huxley and Olga were invaluable.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Günaydin and F. Gürsey, J. Math. Phys. **7**, 1651 (1973); M. Günaydin and F. Gürsey, Phys. Rev. **D 9**(12), 3387 (1974).

[2] W. Nahm, CERN preprint TH-2489 (April 1978), unpublished.

[3] Z. Hasiewicz and J. Lukierski, Phys.Lett. **145B**, 65 (1984).

[4] M. Sohnius, Z.Phys. **C18**, 229 (1983).

[5] Notice that the concept of a soft Lie algebra is really just an extension of the theory of deformations of Lie algebras.

[6] F. Gürsey in Proceedings of the First International Meeting of the History of Scientific Studies, Barcelona Spain, 557 (1983).

[7] Notice that if we had chosen to use the right division table we would have obtained the usual correspondance $i \leftrightarrow -i\sigma_1$, $j \leftrightarrow -i\sigma_1$, $k \leftrightarrow -i\sigma_1$. This arbitrariness in sign of course has no effect on actual physics.

[8] Although this could be a useful property if one wants to consider systems where the observer as well as the system is quantum.

[9] L. Sorgsepp and J. Lôhmus, Hadronic J.(USA) **2**, 1388 (1979); A. Davies and G. Joshi, J. Math. Phys. **27**, 12 (1986).

[10] There is of course a temptation to call the last of these the “Adams relation”.

[11] Notice that there are 7 other natural rank one matrix generators in the theory $\tau_i \equiv \epsilon_{ijk}[L_j, R_k]$. The triple product structure of the octonions necessitates the inclusion of the rank two matrix generators $[L_i, R_j]$.

[12] This is a concept often utilised in spacetime so there is no reason why we should not extend it to symmetry space.

[13] Also note that this scheme is not to mistaken for symmetry breaking. Instead it is really the only sensible avenue remaining when one wants to work with a manifestly non-closed algebra. (That non-associativity is closely tied to non-closure can be seen to be natural if one remembers that non-associativity is equivalent to the failure of transitivity in an equivalence relation).

VI. APPENDIX

A. Octonionic division tables

Left ÷	e_0	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7
e_0	e_0	$-e_1$	$-e_2$	$-e_3$	$-e_4$	$-e_5$	$-e_6$	$-e_7$
e_1	e_1	e_0	$-e_3$	e_2	$-e_5$	e_4	e_7	$-e_6$
e_2	e_2	e_3	e_0	$-e_1$	$-e_6$	$-e_7$	e_4	e_5
e_3	e_3	$-e_2$	e_1	e_0	$-e_7$	e_6	$-e_5$	e_4
e_4	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7	e_0	$-e_1$	$-e_2$	$-e_3$
e_5	e_5	$-e_4$	e_7	$-e_6$	e_1	e_0	e_3	$-e_2$
e_6	e_6	$-e_7$	$-e_4$	e_5	e_2	$-e_3$	e_0	e_1
e_7	e_7	e_6	$-e_5$	$-e_4$	e_3	e_2	$-e_1$	e_0

Right ÷	e_0	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7
e_0	e_0	$-e_1$	$-e_2$	$-e_3$	$-e_4$	$-e_5$	$-e_6$	$-e_7$
e_1	e_1	e_0	e_3	$-e_2$	e_5	$-e_4$	$-e_7$	e_6
e_2	e_2	$-e_3$	e_0	e_1	e_6	e_7	$-e_4$	$-e_5$
e_3	e_3	e_2	$-e_1$	e_0	e_7	$-e_6$	e_5	$-e_4$
e_4	e_4	$-e_5$	$-e_6$	$-e_7$	e_0	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_5	e_5	e_4	$-e_7$	e_6	$-e_1$	e_0	$-e_3$	e_2
e_6	e_6	e_7	e_4	$-e_5$	$-e_2$	e_3	e_0	$-e_1$
e_7	e_7	$-e_6$	e_5	e_4	$-e_3$	$-e_2$	e_1	e_0